

Soybean production sustainability in south eastern Rajasthan

RAJ KUMAR AND D. S. MEENA

Agricultural Research Station, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Umedganj farm, Kota, Rajasthan-324001 (Email ID: rajkumar_57@hotmail.com)

Abstract

The frontline demonstrations (105) were conducted during 2005-06 to 2010-11 on farmer's field in the zone V to evaluate the performance of improved soybean technology. The results revealed that the improved technology was more meaningful and remunerative as compared to farmer's practices. With the adoption of improved technology, the productivity of soybean increased by 20.56% over farmers practice. In term of net return increase of 23.32% was observed over farmers practice. Similarly improved technologies exhibited higher value of SYI and SVI in most of the years, indicate that adoption improved technology will boost up the productivity of the crop which sustainable for farmers income. The yield gap can be narrowing by popularization of improved technology at farmers field.

Key words: Soybean, improved technology, farmer's practices, frontline demonstration, sustainability yield index, sustainability value index

Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) belongs to the legume family, is recognized as golden or miracle bean due to its high nutritive value and various uses viz., for feed, oil and soy food products. It is rich in oil (18-22%) and protein (38-42%). Soybean ranked first in the world in oil production (57%) in the international trade markets among the major oilseed crop viz., cotton seed, peanut, sunflower seed, rapeseed, coconut etc. Soybean continues to be number one oilseed crop in India, currently occupying 9.21 million ha with an estimated production of 9.81 million tonnes (*Kharif* 2010-11). Rajasthan ranked third in area (7.10 lakh ha) with annual production (6.0 lakh tonnes) after Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra (Anonymous 2010).

The crop also plays an important role in the oil economy and foreign earnings as among nine major oilseed crops, during 2009-10; it contributes 40 and 23 % to the total oilseeds and edible oil production of the country and earns valuable foreign earnings (Rs. 4258 corers) by exporting soya meal. During 2009-10, the export of soybean meal was reduced as compared to previous year (Rs. 7485 corers) on account of several reasons.

The possible reasons for this were wide fluctuation in the rates of DOC in the international market, higher prices of Indian DOC, holding by farmers (about 40 % of produce) for the want of higher prices and no tariff on the import of soybean.

Soya oil contains 85% unsaturated fatty acids that include high content of essential fatty acids such as linolic acid and linolenic acid. Although, the soybean research and development system in the country has generated viable production technology to raise the productivity to more than 80 per cent from the present

level of around 1 t per hectare, it appears that there are impediments in reaching to end users creating a technological gap (Bhatnagar, 2009). Productivity of Soybean in Rajasthan is very low, about one tone per hectare. Therefore concerted affords are required to enhance its productivity. Improved agricultural technologies are the products of modern science which lead to ultimate sustainable production. In spite of availability of improved crop production technologies; the adoption of recommended production technologies among farmers is not very encouraging. The average productivity of soybean in the state is very low as compared to potential yield. The reason may be that most of the technologies have not yet reached to the farmer's field. Hence, to percolate the scientific know how amongst soybean growers and to have direct interaction with farmers, ICAR proposed to undertake a project on frontline demonstration. The main objective of frontline demonstration is to demonstrate the productivity potentials and profitability of the latest and improved soybean production technologies under real farm conditions. Humid South east Rajasthan (Zone-V) receive precipitation between 500-700 mm. between last week of June to September. Early withdrawn of monsoon adversely affect the seed yield. Soils are heavy black with "N" medium 'P' high and 'K' medium. Keeping this in view the front line demonstrations were carried out under AICRP on soybean to find out the impact of improved technologies on soybean productivity and income generation in south east Rajasthan.

Materials and Methods

105 frontline demonstrations were carried out

during the period last six year (2005-06 to 2010-11). Every demonstration was laid out in an area of 0.4 ha with improved package of practices viz., high yielding varieties (Pratap soya- 1, NRC- 37, JS- 335, JS- 95-60, JS 93-05 and RKS- 24), seed treatment (Thirum + Bavistin, Rhizobium and PSB culture), recommended dose of fertilizers (20:40:40:30 N:P:K:S kg./ha), weed management (hand weeding, Imazethypr 0.750kg/ha, chlorimuron ethyl 9.37 g /ha) plant protection management (one spray of monocrotophos followed by one spray quinalphos), crop geometry (30 x 10 cm), seed rate (60-80 kg /ha) etc. were demonstrated through frontline demonstration on farmers field. The results of six years (2005-06 to 2010-11) frontline demonstrations were recorded. As regard farmers practice, farmers were allowed to follow up their own technology i.e. tradition practices. (use their own seed of traditional variety, no seed treatments, no fertilizers, hand weeding only, chemical plant protection spray after the appearance of insect pest, crop geometry (22.5 x 5 cm), and seed rate (100 kg./ha).

Data recorded on seed yield and net returns were statistically analyzed for calculation of parameter like standard deviation, coefficient of variation as per standard procedure (Panse and sukhatme 1961). Sustainability indices (sustainability yield index, sustainability value index) were worked out using formula:

$$SYI / SVI = y-o / Y \text{ max.}$$

Where

Y/V is estimated average yield/net return of practice over year (study period), 0 is standard deviation and Y max is observed maximum yield/maximum net return during the study period (Singh *et al.*, 1990).

Results and Discussion

Average yield obtained in demonstration field by using all the improved technologies of soybean cultivation was 1637 kg/ha, where as it was 1360 kg/ha from farmers practice (Table 1). This reveals that the adoption of improved production technologies of soybean cultivation is enhance the productivity by 20.56% over farmer's practice. Bhatnagar (2001) reported that the research emanated production technologies were capable of increasing the productivity as soybean by 32.26% through frontline demonstration. Similar result was also observed by Raghuwanshi *et al.* 2009. They observed 34.33% enhance the productivity over farmer's practices. Similar yield enhancement in different crops in frontline demonstrations has amply been documented by Dhaka *et al.* (2010).

In an economic evaluation, the per hectare net return of Rs 14148 was obtained in demonstration plots, while Rs. 11483 were obtained in farmer's practice. The data clearly indicated that additional net return of Rs 4241 over farmer's practice was obtained in demonstrations. The cost of cultivation was more (Rs 1279) in demonstration, moreover, the demonstration plots increased the net return to the tune of 23.32% over farmer's practices. The per rupee return obtained through improved production technologies was 1.38 which was 7.81% higher than the farmers practice. The integration of different components of Soybean production technology in improved practice though increase the cost of cultivation by 14.29% (Table 2) but due to this, addition increase over net return of Rs 2665 /ha was also obtained. Similarly Raghuwanshi *et al.*

Table 1: Performance of improved technologies of soybean production through frontline demonstrations.

| Year | No. of demonstrations | Yield through improved technology | | Average yield through farmers practices (kg/ha) | Additional yield over FP(kg/ha) | % increase over FP(kg/ha) |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Highest yield(kg/ha) | Average yield(kg/ha) | | | |
| 2005 | 15 | 1782 | 1592 | 1259 | 333 | 26.45 |
| 2006 | 26 | 2125 | 1815 | 1538 | 277 | 18.25 |
| 2007 | 24 | 2440 | 2060 | 1655 | 405 | 24.49 |
| 2008 | 20 | 1540 | 1168 | 0978 | 190 | 19.56 |
| 2009 | 10 | 1425 | 1312 | 1096 | 216 | 19.74 |
| 2010 | 10 | 2010 | 1873 | 1631 | 242 | 14.87 |
| Mean | | 1637 | 1259 | | | |

Table 2: Economic evaluation of improved technologies in soybean production.

| Particulars | Improved practices | Farmers practices | Increase over FP | Per cent over FP |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Average yield (kg/ha) | 1637 | 1359 | 278 | 20.46 |
| Net return (Rs./ha) | 14148 | 11483 | 2665 | 23.21 |
| Per rupee return | 1.38 | 1.28 | 0.10 | 7.81 |
| Cost of cultivation(Rs./ha) | 10229 | 8950 | 1279 | 14.29 |

Table 3: Variability in seed yield and net return in soybean front line demonstration

| Particulars | Kharif 2005 | | Kharif 2006 | | Kharif 2007 | | Kharif 2008 | | Kharif 2009 | | Kharif 2010 | | Mean | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| | IT | FP | IT | FP | IT | FP | IT | FP | IT | FP | IT | FP | IT | FP |
| Seed Yield Range (kg/ha) | 1277-1782 | 1000-1495 | 1250-2125 | 1125-1850 | 1780-2440 | 1420-1980 | 1025-1540 | 1000-1250 | 1180-1425 | 1000-1200 | 1710-2010 | 1530-1750 | 1025-2440 | 1000-1980 |
| Mean | 1592 | 1259 | 1815 | 1538 | 2060 | 1655 | 1168 | 0978 | 1312 | 1096 | 1873 | 1631 | 1637 | 1359 |
| Standard Deviation | 178.3 | 162.7 | 251.5 | 223.6 | 196.4 | 162.5 | 112.0 | 91.3 | 93.4 | 77.4 | 106.3 | 82.7 | 344.7 | 289.1 |
| CV % | 11.20 | 12.92 | 13.85 | 14.54 | 9.53 | 9.81 | 9.59 | 9.34 | 7.12 | 7.60 | 5.67 | 5.07 | 21.05 | 21.28 |
| Net Return Range(Rs per ha) | 6091-11529 | 4395-9410 | 5693-17068 | 5125-14650 | 8500-15520 | 6136-12184 | 1402-8509 | 811-6500 | 10672-15572 | 8850-12650 | 24045-35818 | 20165-31765 | 1402-35818 | 811-1765 |
| Mean | 9490 | 7166 | 14401 | 11204 | 12374 | 9541 | 4151 | 3160 | 12882 | 10400 | 31670 | 27429 | 14148 | 11483 |
| SD | 1874.5 | 1644.8 | 3170.1 | 2736.0 | 2482.4 | 2110.2 | 1775.1 | 1491.4 | 1868.7 | 1510.6 | 4284.8 | 4644.9 | 9285.1 | |
| CV % | 19.79 | 22.95 | 23.31 | 24.42 | 20.06 | 22.12 | 42.76 | 47.20 | 14.05 | 14.25 | 14.77 | 18.44 | 65.62 | 72.57 |
| SYI | 0.793 | 0.733 | 0.736 | 0.710 | 0.763 | 0.753 | 0.709 | 0.685 | 0.855 | 0.848 | 0.884 | 0.878 | 0.540 | 0.529 |
| SVI | 0.660 | 0.586 | 0.658 | 0.578 | 0.637 | 0.609 | 0.279 | 0.256 | 0.707 | 0.702 | 0.764 | 0.717 | 0.136 | 0.099 |

(2010) also observed 20.01 % higher net return our farmers practice in soybean front line demonstrations.

Further improved technologies exhibited higher value of sustainability indices (SYI and SVI) for all the years except 2008 where it was observed heavy infestation of the crop with yellow mosaic virus followed by charcoal rot which ultimately results in low production potential and low SYI and SVI. However overall mean of 6 years study period for sustainability parameter was also of higher magnitude with improved technologies as compared to farmer's practice because of higher standard deviation values varied due to variation in crop conditions and response to inputs and also depended upon varying situation of cultivation. Similar observation was also observed by Kumar and Ali (2002) in linseed front line demonstrations.

Thus, it is concluded that cultivation of soybean with improved technology adopted in frontline demonstrations at farmer field was more profitable compared to farmer's practices. Sustainability parameter like SYI and SVI were also higher in improved technologies during the study period.

Thus, the study proved that improved technology of soybean cultivation offered higher yields and profit as compared to farmers practice. The sustainability parameters like SYI and SVI were higher with improved methods during majority of the years under study. Soybean productivity can be enhancing by adopting of improved technology as compared to farmers practices, technology should be popularized at farmer's field by the extension functionaries to narrowing the yield gap.

References

Anonymous (2010) Directorate of soybean research, News, July 2009- December 2010, Issue 16-17
Ali Mashiat and Singh Pratap (2002). Impact of new

technologies on the yield and economics of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) on farmer's field. Extended summaries Vol.2: 2nd International Agronomy Congress, Nov.26-30, 2002, New Delhi, India, pp 1450-1452.

Bhatnagar, P.S. (2001). Present status of soybean in India and strategies for its sustainable farming and industry. Paper presented in Indian soy. Forum 2001, March 17-18, 2001.

Bhatnagar, P.S. (2009). Harnessing productivity and profitability potentials of soybean (*Glycine max*) for its sustainability in India. In: Abstracts: Developing a Global soy blueprint for a Safe, Secure and Sustainable Supply, WSRC. 2009, Aug 10-15, Beijing, China, pp209

Dhaka B L, Meena B S and Suwalka R L. (2010). Popularization of Improved Maize Production Technology through Frontline Demonstration in South- Eastern Rajasthan. *Journal of Agriculture Sciences* 1(1): 39-42

Kumhar, S.R and Ali, Mashiat, (2002). Sustainability and economics of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) front line demonstration in Rajasthan. Extended summaries Vol.2: 2nd International Agronomy Congress, Nov.26-30, 2002, New Delhi, India, pp 1459-1460.

Raghuwanshi S.R.S., Raghuwanshi O.P.S. Umat R., Ambawatia G.R. and Bhargav, K.S. (2009) Impact of improved technologies on Soybean productivity. *Haryana J. Agron.* 25 (1 & 2): 82-83 (2009).

Raghuwanshi S.R.S., Raghuwanshi O.P.S. Umat R., Ambawatia G.R. and Bhargav, K.S. (2010). Productivity Enhancement of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) through Improved Technology in Farmers Field. *Haryana J. Agron.* 25 (1 & 2): 82-83 (2009).

Singh R P, Das S K, Bhaskara Rao U M and Narayana Reddy M (1990). Towards sustainable dryland agricultural practices. Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad.