

Nutrient Management for Rice - Barley Cropping System Using Soil Test Target Yield Equation in Village Persiya, Block- Naugarh, District Chaundauli (U.P)

Y.V. SINGH* PRADIP DEY¹ AND R.N. YADAV

AICRP on STCR, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005 (Uttar Pradesh)

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the year 2015-16 to study the nutrient management based on soil test to achieve the target yield of rice - barley and their effect of soil nutrient status for Barley-rice cropping system. The target yield of barley grain are (T_4 -40 and T_5 -45 q/ha) with -3.78% deviation and target yield of rice are (T_4 -45 and T_5 -50 q/ha) were achieved with application of 100% NPK based on ST-TY based along with 2 t/ha Farm Yard Manure (FYM). FYM were applied only in barley crop. The highest system productivity (98.20 q/ha) and benefit-cost ratio (4.27) was also recorded higher with application 100% NPK based on ST-TY based along with 2 t/ha FYM. The nutrient uptake by crops and soil nutrients status were higher after three year of Barley-rice cropping sequence when NPK were applied with FYM. Hence, combination of inorganic and organic (FYM) fertilizer could achieve target yield and maintain the soil fertility status.

Keyword: Economics, Barley, Nutrient management, Nutrient uptake, STCR, Yield etc.

Introduction

Balanced fertilizer application in a cropping system is pre-requisite for sustainable production system as well as appropriate soil nutrient resilience. With the development of high yielding and fertilizer responsive varieties of almost all crops escalated the indiscriminate use of fertilizers thus increases cost of cultivation and environment pollution. Hence, need based estimation of N, P and K correlating their requirement with specific target yield depending on their native soil status may fit to balanced application of NPK fertilizers. The 'target yield equation' (TYE) is considered as a soil and fertilizer based precision farming strategy to meet nutrient demands for a specified yield (Balasubramanian *et al.*, 1999). However, application of N, P and K fertilizer on soil test target yield (ST-TY) based may meet the productivity but it has negative impact on soil health, hence, integrated nutrient management *i.e.* combination of inorganic and organic in rice - barley cropping system help to enhance the Barley and rice productivity while maintaining the soil health (Ghosh, 2008). Keeping this view in mind the experiment was

¹Project coordinator STCR (AICRP), Indian Institute of Soil science Bhopal 462 038 (Madhya Pradesh)

*Corresponding author

(E-mail: yvsingh59@rediffmail.co.in)

conducted to achieve the target yield of barley and rice and their effect of soil nutrient status for rice - barley cropping system.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at village - Persiya, Block- Naugarh, District Chaundauli (U.P.) rice - barley cropping system during 2015-16. The soil of experimental field of Rice was sandy loam with pH 6.4, EC 27.5 dSm⁻¹, Organic carbon 0.50 % and available N, P and K 162.07, 11.24 and 190.38 kg/ha, respectively. The soil of experimental field of Barley was Alluvial (Inceptisols) with pH 7.0, EC 0.30 dSm⁻¹, Organic carbon 0.71 % and available N, P and K 180.00, 16.50 and 170.00 kg/ha, respectively. Doses of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O calculated on soil test basis by using following equations:

For rice (Regar and Singh, 2014)

FN=4.40T-0.49 SN-0.34ON

FP= 1.53T-1.14 SP-0.09OP

FK=2.92T-0.35 SK-0.11OK

For Barley (Singh *et al.*, 2014)

FN=4.53T-0.40 SN-0.19ON

FP=1.09T-0.19 SP-0.06OP

FK=3.25T-0.38 SK-0.031OK

Where, T= Yield target; FN, FP and FK is fertilizer N, P₂O₅ and K₂O (kg/ha), respectively; SN, SP and SK

are available N P and K of soil (kg/ha) and ON, OP and OK are available N P and K of farm yard manure (%), respectively.

Five nutrient management practices viz. T₁- Control, T₂- Farmer's Practices of barley fertilizer *i.e.* for barley 80 kg N, 40 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O/ha and for rice 100 kg N, 35 kg P₂O₅ and 35 kg K₂O/ha, T₃ - GRD (General recommended Dose) N P and K, 120,55, 55, T₄- 100 % NPK on ST-TY (Target for barley - 40 q/ha and for rice- 45 q/ ha), T₅-100 % NPK on ST-TY (Target yield for barley 45 q/ha and for rice 50 q/ha), FYM were tested in randomized block design with three replications for barley only in T₄ and T₅, barley variety 'RD2050' was sown in the Second week of November and rice variety 'Aman' was transplanted in Second week of June with recommended package and practices. The grain yield in barley crop and grain yield of rice crop was recorded after harvesting of crop. Soil and plant samples were collected and harvest were dried, processed and analyzed for available N by alkaline permanganate method, available P by Olsen's method and available K by flame photometer following standard procedures (Jackson, 1973). The economics in term of benefit cost ratio was also calculated at price prevailing in nearest market. The fibre yield of barley, grain yield of rice, and other parameters of nutrient dynamics were subjected to standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) and treatment differences were tested following tests of least significant difference (LSD) at statistical significance level of Pd' 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Barley and Rice grain yield and system productivity

The target yield of barley (45 q/ha) was achieved with 3.7% deviation (Table 1) with application of 100%NPK based on Soil Test Target Yield (ST-TY) equation along with 2t/ha of FYM (T₅). The 100% NPK application on ST-TY achieved only 103.77 % of target barley grain yield. The higher barley target yield (45 q/ha) has achieved by treatments. The target yield

of rice (50 q/ha) was also achieved with T₅ the higher target yield achieved by treatments, however, 100% NPK based on ST-TY.104.00% of this target yield. The integration of inorganic with organic (FYM) was ensured the achievement of target yield of Barley and rice and only inorganic (N, P and K) did not achieve the target yield in both barley and rice. Balanced nutrition to soil, through integration of both organic and chemical nutrient sources appears to be essential. It provides adequate nutrients to crop uptake which promotes barley and rice growth and subsequent development of yield attributes lead to higher yield (Singh, *et al.*, 2012; Singh and Singh, 2014; . Target yield was achieved exactly, showing a slight deviation from the grain yield was might be due to unavailability of the full amount of applied nutrients to the plant as estimated to achieve the targeted yield. One possibility is that release of nutrients from applied fertilizer occurs spontaneously; however, subsequent uptake by plant is not taking place concurrently. Thus, entire amount of applied fertilizers could not have been up took due to lack of synchronization of its release with its absorption by plant, accounting for uncontrolled label losses (Singh, *et al.*, 2014; Singh, *et al.*, 2015).The system productivity and benefit cost ratio was significantly (Pd'0.05) higher in T₄ and T₅, where FYM were integrated with ST-TY based application of NPK compared to T₁, T₂ and T₃ treatments (Table 1). The combination of inorganic and organic resulted in higher productivity of Barley/mesta and rice was also reported by Ray, *et al.* (2000) in barley-rice -wheat cropping system, Saha, *et al.* (2008) in roselle; Kumar, *et al.* (2010) in barley and Singh, *et al.* (2011) in mesta-rice cropping system.

Nutrients uptake and its status in soil

The higher nutrient uptake (104.50 N, 59.60 P and 78.10 K kg/ha) by barley and (106.50 N, 56.00 P and 78.60 K kg/ha) by rice crop were recorded under T₅ and which was found significantly (Pd' 0.05)

Table 1: Barley yield, rice yield, percent achievement of target yield, system productivity and benefit-cost ratio under barley-rice cropping system

Treatments	Barley yield (q/ha)	% achievement of Barley target yield	Rice Yield (q/ha)	% achievement of rice target yield	System Productivity(q/ha)	B:C
T ₁	17.10	42.75/38.00	17.20	37.77/34.00	34.30	-
T ₂	25.50	56.25/50.00	26.30	57.77/52.00	51.80	B=1.68R=2.34
T ₃	32.40	81.0/72.00	39.00	86.88/78.20	71.40	B=2.90R=4.59
T ₄	39.95	99.50	44.80	98.20	84.70	B=3.69R=6.60
T ₅	46.70	103.77	51.50	104.00	98.20	B=4.27R=6.73
LSD (P=0.05)	1.84		1.68		3.52	

Table 2: Nutrient uptake and available nutrients status in soil after the barley-rice cropping system

Treatments	Nutrient uptake by Barley crop (kg/ha)			Nutrient uptake by rice crop (kg/ha)			Available nutrient Status after One year of cropping (kg/ha)		
	N	P	K	N	P	K	N	P	K
Initial soil status							212.02	21.20	187.20
T ₁	28.00	5.22	24.81	30.05	13.05	21.05	210.20	20.01	186.02
T ₂	66.10	36.11	58.81	85.05	40.20	53.20	220.60	24.05	195.20
T ₃	79.10	47.50	68.10	90.05	44.20	60.40	232.60	26.06	201.10
T ₄	90.60	50.10	71.00	98.50	48.10	66.42	241.50	29.06	210.50
T ₅	104.50	59.60	78.10	106.50	56.00	78.60	260.50	32.60	218.60
LSD (P=0.05)	1.64	0.84	2.80	1.68	1.33	1.03	2.00	0.53	1.72

superior to other treatments (Table 2). The higher uptake of nutrients under T₅ which was might be due to higher application of nutrients along with FYM. Available nutrient status was also higher in T₄ and T₅ where FYM was applied. When we apply FYM in soil the entire amount of its NPK constituent was not made available at a time in one season; rather, a gradual release took place over a period of years. It has been reported that that only 25% to 30% N, 60% to 70% P and 75% K could be made available from applied FYM in first season rice, and the remainder being available in subsequent years. Hence, comparatively less yield deviation under integrated nutrient management was attributed to slow but sustained release of nutrients and due to improvement in humic substances in soil, which in turn promotes the soil NPK status.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Indian Institute of Soil Sciences, Bhopal for providing financial assistance through AICRP on STCR project during the course of investigation.

References

- Balasubramanian, V., Morales, A.C., Cruz, R.T. and Abdulrachman, S. (1999). On-farm adaptation of knowledge intensive nitrogen management technologies for rice systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem*, 53:59–69.
- Gaur, A.C., Neelakantan, S. and Dargan. K.S. (1984). Organic manure. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp. 79–95.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 485 p.
- Kumar, Neeraj, Srivastava, R.K., Singh, M.V., Singh, R.B. and Singh, R.K. (2010). Nitrogen substitution in barley (*Corchorus olitorius*) through green manure and farm yard manure for sustainable production in eastern tarai region of Uttar Pradesh (in) *Barley and Allied Fibers Production, Utilization and Marketing*. 179-182.
- Ray, P.K., Jana, A.K., Moitra, D.N., Saha, M.N., Chaudhury, J. and Saha, A.R. (2000). Fertilizer prescription on soil test basis for barley, rice and wheat in *typicustochrept*. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, 48: 79–84.
- Saha A.R., Mitra D.N., Mazumdar B., Saha S. and Mitra S. (2008). Effect on integrated nutrient management on roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) productivity its mineral nutrition and soil properties. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 78(5):418-421.
- Singh M.V., Kumar, Neeraj and Singh, R.K. (2011). Influence of integrated nutrient management in mesta-rice crop sequence system in Eastern U.P. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 13 (2):128-130.
- Singh, S.R., Moitra, D.N., Majumdar, B., Tripathi, M.K., and Kundu, D.K. (2012). Soil test and target yield based nutrient management technology for higher productivity of Barley. *Jaf News*, 10(1): pp.16.
- Singh, Y. V., Parveen, Ayesha, Singh, S.K. and Dey, Pradip (2012). Annual report of soil test crop response correlation at AICRP on STCR, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221 005 (Uttar Pradesh)
- Singh, Y.V. and Singh, S.K. (2014). Fertilizer prescription for targeted yield of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L var. Saryu-52) in and Inceptisol of Varanasi. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 41(2):282-285.
- Singh, Y.V., Dey Pradip., Singh, S.K. and Kumar, Mukesh. (2015). Impact of soil test crop response technology on yield and economics of wheat in Chandauli district of Uttar Pradesh. *Technofame - a journal of multidisciplinary advance research*, 1: 52 - 56.
- Singh, Y.V., Sharma, P.K. and Meena, R. (2014). Effect of Soil Test Crop Response Technology on Productivity and Economics of Rice crop of Varanasi district of Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Rural and Agricultural Research*, 14(01):77-80.