

## **Effect of soybean varieties sowing time on seed yield and yield attributes in Malwa Plateau region**

KAYAM SINGH, LALSINGH, S.B. VERMA<sup>1</sup> AND BHAGWAN KUMRAWAT  
*RVSKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra Rajgarh, (Biaora) M.P.,*

### **Abstract**

*The Experiment were conducted during Kharif season in the year of 2018 & 2019 at farmer field with light to heavy soil and rainfall varies from 750-1250 mm in Malwa plateau region of Madhya Pradesh, India. The effect of three sowing dates on the growth, development and yielding of Three soybean cultivars of different time of Sowing and under different temperature and precipitation conditions across the years. The seed yield from early sowing significantly correlated with the total precipitation in June and July, and at later dates, also with the total precipitation in August. The significantly highest soybean yields were collected from the sowing at a turn of May, and the highest seed and protein yield, as well as protein content in seed, were re- corded for the early JS 2034 cultivar. Neither the number and the seed weight per pod nor the 1 000-seed weight significantly depended on the sowing date. Over years, a significant, almost linear decrease in the plant height and the first pod setting height, the weight of nodules, the protein yield. And highly significant correlations were found between the seed yield and the plant height and the first pod setting height, as well as between the seed number and the seed weight per pod and the 1 000-seed weight as well as between the plant height and the first pod setting height.*

Keywords: sowing time effect; weather conditions; plant morphology and Seed yield

### **Introduction**

The Experiment were conducted during Kharif season in the year of 2018 & 2019 at farmer field with light to heavy soil and rainfall varies from 750-1250 mm in Malwa plateau region of Madhya Pradesh, India., it is observed that some farmers are interest in soybean cultivation at a larger scale in Central Malwa Plateau region of Madhya Pradesh. especially in Rajgarh District area most favorable to soybean growing in that relatively new soybean region, the research is necessary to determine the effect of environmental variation and cultivation technology, especially the date of sowing, on soybean growth, development and yielding. However, only four countries, Italy, France, Romania and Serbia, record continuously growing seed yields (Bastidas et al. 2008), and it is estimated that even 20% (of about 2.4 million ha) of the soybean imports can be replaced by its cultivation in Eastern Europe. One of the most important soybean cultivation conditions is the earliness

of the cultivars grown as plant development, and ripening is closely related to the day length. It is commonly believed that in Rajgarh, it is possible to grow soybean wherever the growing season is 90–100 days long, namely the cultivars with JS 2034, early sowing which means that the total temperature required for the growing season should range from 20 °C to 35 °C (Berschneider 2016). The analysis of the changes in weather parameters Malwa plateau region condition the shortening of the active soy- bean growth, prolonging the time the soil heats up to 8 °C at a depth of 5 cm, and to the occurrence of weather and agricultural droughts as well as late- spring ground frosts (Zarski et al. 2019). The optimal soybean sowing date is an important factor affecting the plant growth and yield, and it changes depending on the climate conditions and the accompanying reactions of cultivars to the day length Sincik et al. 2011.

An earlier sowing date stands for a longer period of vegetative and generative development as the soybean seed yield is positively correlated with the length of flowering, pod setting and seed-filling

---

<sup>1</sup> Assistant professor (GBP), Department of Agriculture botany (GBP) Udai Pratap Collage, Varanasi (U.P.)

stages (Egli and Bruening 2000). In the north of Germany (Balko et al. 2014), higher yields recorded for late-maturing cultivars were observed for the years with higher total temperature, while more stable yet lower yields were recorded for early cultivars. The soybean seed yield decreases with a delay in the sowing date after May 1 (Licht and Huffman 2017). Many authors (Hu and Wiatrak 2012, Jaybhay et al. 2019) found a negative impact of delayed sowing date on soybean growth and development, especially under unfavorable humidity conditions.

The cultivar earliness, the soybean yielding in Rajgarh district M.P. similarly as in other neighbor districts, is much affected by water deficit, which essentially shortens both the vegetative and generative stage and thus lowers the yield (Desclaux and Roumet 1996). For that reason, under Malwa plateau climate conditions, soybean sowing is recommended for the turn of May (Boros et al. 2019) when the soil temperature reaches 8 °C.

The research has been to evaluate the effect of the sowing date and the earliness of four selected soybean cultivars available in Malwa Plateau region on their yielding and the morphological parameters of plants and structural yield components.

### Materials and Methods

The Experiment were conducted during Kharif season in the year of 2018 & 2019 at farmer field with light to heavy soil and rainfall varies from 750-1250 mm in Malwa plateau region of Madhya Pradesh, India. The research of the effect of the sowing date on the yielding of selected soybean cultivars was performed in 2018–2019.

The research involved Three soybean Varieties with various time of Soybean, early JS 2034 (85–95 days) of vegetation, Medium RVS 24, (95–100 days) of vegetation, and late RVS 2001-4 (100-110 days) of vegetation, sown at three sowing dates: early (18 June), medium (10 July) and delayed (02 August). The soil type classified according to the Physical properties, Light deep soil with rainfall varies from 625-750 mm, medium alluvial

to clay soil with rainfall varies from 750-1000 mm and deep black soil with rainfall varies from >1000 mm. The content of phosphorus was very high (83.50 mg/kg of soil), potassium high (142 mg/kg of soil), magnesium low (27 mg/kg of soil). The content of available forms of potassium and phosphorus was assayed with the Egner-Rhiem DL method and magnesium with the Schachtschabel method. The content of nitrate and ammonium ions was determined with colorimetric tests with the Behelot and Griess-Ilosvay reactions. The soil pH was potentiometrically measured in 1 mol/L KCL. In all the research years, the soil pH was adequate for soybean cultivation (Table 1).

The experiment fore crop in all the years were cereals; 60 kg P and 80 kg K kg/ha, as well as 30 kg N/ha, were applied before sowing; after each sowing Sencor (0.55 L/ha) and after emergence Fusilade Forte (0.8 L/ha) were applied. The plots for sowing were 21.24 m<sup>2</sup> in size, and the plots for harvest 20.0 m<sup>2</sup>, row spacing was 16 cm, and the sowing rate was 90 germinating seeds per 1 m<sup>2</sup>, and sowing depth 3–5 cm. Right prior to sowing, the sowing material was inoculated with HiStick Soy® (BASF Agricultural Specialties Limited, UK).

In the vegetation period in 2016 and 2017, the plant vegetation in June, July and August occurred at an average temperature of 27.5 °C; however, already in the successive two years, it was considerably higher (35 °C) (Figure 1). A high and well-distributed total rainfall was recorded in June and July 2018 (752 mm and 926 mm) and in 2019 (810 mm and 930 mm), which facilitated plant growth, development and yielding of soybean cultivars. However, the successive research both the years recorded soil drought; in 2019, there was noted an almost one-time rainfall of 810 mm in July and 1130 mm in August, respectively. The pods and the seeds in 2018 and especially in 2019 with high total rainfall in August were drying slowly, considerably prolonging the vegetation period, on average to about 95–100 days, irrespective of the cultivar.

### Plant development monitoring

Table 1: Chemical properties of soil prior to soybean sowing in 2018–2019

Year	P (mg/kg of soil in 0–60 cm)	K (mg/kg of soil in 0–60 cm)	Mg (mg/kg of soil in 0–60 cm)	NO <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/kg in dry matter in 0–60 cm)	NH <sub>4</sub> -N (mg/kg in dry matter in 0–60 cm)	pHKCl
2018	82.0	112	27.0	6.12	6.97	6.8
2019	77.0	149	27.0	8.69	2.27	6.5
Mean	83.5	142	27.0	7.40	5.62	6.65

At the full flowering stage, the Sun Scan Canopy Analysis System (+T Devices Ltd., Cambridge, UK) was used to determine the LAI (leaf area index – m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>). At the same time, the weight of the nodules from ten plants of each plot was specified. Right before harvest, the number of pods per plant, the number of seeds per pod, the seed weight per pod and the 1 000-seed weight, as well as the plant height and the first pod setting height, were provided. Also, the yield of the dry weight of straw from each plot was assessed to calculate the HI value (the seed yield in the total weight of plants before harvesting in %).

#### Analysis methods

The experiment involved two factors in a completely randomized block design in four replications. The data was processed with ANOVA, using Statistical, version 10 (Stat Soft, Tulsa, USA). The *LSD* (least significant difference) test at  $P < 0.05$  was used for the difference between the parameter means. The  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.001$  were significant. The Pearson correlation coefficients were used for the dependence between the parameters. Also, the regression analysis was used to ac-

Table 2: Seed yield, harvest index value as well as yield components in four soybean cultivars at early, medium and the late date of sowing in 2018–2019

	Seed yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	No. of pods/plant	No. of seeds/pod	Weight of seeds/pod (g)	1000 seed weight (g)
Year (Y)						
2018	1.79c	48.4b	15.1d	1.58c	0.243c	151c
2019	1.06d	51.4a	21.2c	1.85b	0.300b	163b
Sowing date (S)						
Early (25 June)	2.40c	47.4c	18.5b	1.87	0.356	176
Medium (17 July)	2.63a	52.4a	21.2a	1.81	0.354	183
Late (5 Aug.)	2.50b	50.6b	23.3a	1.86	0.243	151
JS 2034	2.53b	47.9c	22.3	1.82ab	0.300b	163b
Varieites (IV)	RVS 24	1.91c	54.1a	19.9	1.73b	0.356a
176a						
RVS 2001-1	3.17a	51.0b	21.9	1.88ab	0.354a	183a
2018	2.43b	47.5c	19.9	1.96a	0.243c	151c
Mean	2.51	50.1	21.0	1.85	0.313	168
Y	***	**	***	***	***	***
S	***	***	***	NS	NS	NS
Cv	***	***	NS	***	***	***
ANOVA						
Y × S	***	***	***	NS	*	NS
Y × Cv	***	***	NS	NS	***	***
S × C	***	NS	NS	NS	NS	**
Y × S × C	***	***	NS	NS	NS	**

Values of a parameter followed by the same letter did not differ significantly across the years, sowing dates and cultivars (ANOVA followed by Fisher's *LSD* test,  $P < 0.05$ ). ANOVA results: \* $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ ; NS – non-significant

for the relationship between some parameters, especially the seed yield (SY) and its components. The means in the tables and the charts provided with the same letters do not differ significantly.

## Results and Discussion

### Seed yield and yield attributes

Malwa plateau soybean sowing time falls within a broad range; mid-June through mid-July (Dima et al. 2016); however, a delay in the sowing day after May 1 most often results in a linear decrease in the seed yield (Bruening 2020). An especially negative effect of a delayed sowing date is observed for the years with unfavorable humidity conditions (Hu and Wiatrak 2012, Jaybhay et al. 2019). In the northern part of Germany, higher soybean yields in late cultivars were observed only at higher total

### Temperature

however, the yields of earlier cultivars were more stable and lower. Hence an early soybean sowing date in a given region is necessary for using the biological plant potential (Bastidas et al. 2008, Sincik et al. 2011). The second important condition for soybean yielding is the rainfall total and distribution.

Table 3: Plant height and pod setting height, dry nodule weight, protein content and protein yield, as well as the LAI (leaf area index) value in Three Soybean Varieties at early, medium and late sowing time

	Plant height (cm)	First pod setting height (cm)	Dry nodule weight(g)	Protein content (%)	Protein yield (kg/ha)	LAI (m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )
Year (Y)						
2018	38.1c	7.46c	7.46c	32.8c	580c	4.21b
2019	32.4d	5.77c	5.77d	38.2a	431d	2.59c
Sowing Time (S)						
Early (25 June)	57.7b	8.35b	8.35b	36.1	868c	3.94b
Medium (17 July)	60.2b	8.77b	8.77b	35.4	1 041a	4.59a
Late (5 Aug.)	64.5a	9.88a	9.88a	36.3	967b	5.11a
JS 2034	71.4a	11.7a	11.75a	34.2c	948b	5.06a
Varieties (IV)	RVS 24	53.2c	6.97c	6.97c	35.7b	725c
3.93b						
RVS 2001-1	62.5b	9.85b	9.85b	37.0a	1 157a	5.03a
Mean		60.8	9.00	8.57	35.9	958
4.69						
Y	***	***	***	***	***	***
S	***	***	**	NS	***	***
Cv	***	***	NS	***	***	***
ANOVA						
Y × S	***	***	***	*	***	***
Y × Cv	***	***	NS	***	**	**
S × C	NS	NS	NS	*	***	***
Y × S × C	NS	NS	NS	NS	***	***

Values of a parameter followed by the same letter did not differ significantly across the cultivars (ANOVA followed by Fisher's *LSD* test,  $P < 0.05$ ). ANOVA results: \* $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ ; NS – non-significant

Dolijanovic et al. (2013) found that during growth and development, the soybean plants require 500 mm of rainfall, including at least 300 mm during flowering and fruit-bearing, with the seed yield mostly depending on the total rainfall in June, July and August (Mandic et al. 2017). mostly due to a considerable shortening of both the vegetative and generative stages (Desclaux and Roumet 1996). Hence, the two-year average soybean seed yield was average, and it amounted to 2.51 t/ha, whereas the highest yield was recorded for cv. RVS 2001-4 (3.17 t/ha) and the lowest – for IV. RVS 24 (1.91 t/ha). The significantly highest multi-year soybean yield (2.63 t/ha) was reported for sowing at the turn of May and June, similarly as reported in the experiments of the domestic (Boros et al. 2016) and authors (Benatti et al. 1990, Bastidas et al. 2008, Egli and Bruening 2020). Interestingly, except for a significantly variable number of pods per plant, the other values of structural soybean yielding components did not depend on the sowing date significantly. According to Hu and Wiatrak (2012), the unfavorable

humidity conditions not only decrease the vegetative soybean plant growth but mostly increase the abortion of flowers and pods, which, in the present research, resulted in a shortened seed filling and decreased the number of seeds per pod, the seed weight and thousand seed weight. The analysis of variance has also shown the most significant interactions between the factors for the seed yield and HI value, and much less for the other soybean parameters under study.

#### **Morphological plant parameters, LAI value and the protein yield**

The successive research years also noted considerable differences in the other soybean parameters (Table 3). According to Czopek and Staniak (2018), water deficit has an unfavorable effect on important, from the practical point of view, morphological soybean plant parameters, especially the plant height and the first pod setting height, which has been very clearly confirmed in the present study. Similarly, as for the yield and the above parameters, also the dry weight of nodules and the protein yield

were decreasing linearly together with decreasing total precipitation in successive years. The optimal sowing date resulted in the highest protein yield, which has been confirmed by other research results (Mourtzinis et al. 2017). In Italy, the delay of soybean sowing date from April 4 to July 16 resulted in an increase in the protein content in soybean seeds to over 40% (Benatti et al. 1990, Egli and Bruening 2020). High protein content in seeds largely depends on high temperature and moderate total rainfall over seed filling (Vollmann et al. 2000), which, however, was not observed in the present research, and the protein yield was mostly determined by the total rainfall. Interestingly, a significant decrease was noted in the dry weight of nodules in successive research years, which must have been due to a decreasing activity of rhizobia due to extremely unfavorable humidity conditions (Sinclair et al. 1988). Of all the cultivars studied, JS2034 showed the significantly highest plant height, dry weight of nodules. RVS 2001-4 gave the highest protein content and yield.

According to Sincik et al. (2011), the dynamics of increasing values of LAI and TDM (total dry matter in V5-R2, R4 and R6) is closely related to soybean yielding, and it depends on the environmental conditions and the sowing

For respective sowing dates, a strong dependence was found between the total rainfall in June and July and the seed yield; however, the dependence was significant for June at the early and medium dates, whereas for July at the delayed date (Table 4). It was also identified that the soybean yields, irrespective of the sowing date, highly correlated with the total rainfall from June to August and throughout the vegetation period, which is confirmed by high and very high, although non-significant, values of the correlation coefficient (0.661 to 0.914). Mandic et al. (2017) reported the soybean seed yield is significantly

Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) for the total rainfall across the months and the seed yield for early, medium and the late date of sowing

Month	Sowing date		
	Early	Medium	Late
Jun	0.997***	0.975**	0.882NS
Jul	0.867ns	0.874NS	0.990**
Aug	0.368NS	0.533ns	0.567NS
Jun–Aug	0.824NS	0.914ns	0.828NS

\*\*\* $P < 0.01$ ; \*\* $P < 0.001$ ; NS – non-significant

positively correlated with the rainfall in June, July and August, whereas Bosnjak (2004) recorded a very high correlation between the seed yield and the total rainfall throughout the soybean vegetation period. Also, Sobko et al. (2020) recorded a positive correlation between the seed yield and the rainfall over flowering – seed filling and maturing when the soybean plants are especially sensitive to water deficit.

According to Wei and Molin (2020), the linear regression models, based on the 1 000-seed weight and the number of seeds per soybean pod, demonstrated low ( $r = 0.50$ ) and high ( $r = 0.92$ ), respectively, linear correlations with the seed yield. In the present research, the values were considerably lower, especially for the 1 000-seed weight (Table 5). The seed yield was highest and significantly positively correlated with the plant height and the first pod setting height, which is evident from a very high value of the correlation coefficient, which was also reported by Bateman et al. (2020) for the 1 000-seed weight. As for most of the other parameters, significant correlations with the seed yield were also noted. The correlations between the seed weight per pod with the number of seeds per pod, the thousand seed weight with the seed weight per pod and the first pod setting height with the soybean plant height are also noteworthy. The

Table 5: Correlation matrix (Pearson) between some parameters studied ( $n = 192$ )

Soybean parameter	Seed yield	No. of Pod	Seeds per pod	Seed weight per pod	Thousand seed weight	Plant height
Pod number	0.111NS					
Seeds per pod	0.364**	0.119NS				
Seed weight per pod	0.381**	0.226**	0.735**			
1 000-seed weight	0.191**	0.223**	0.120NS	0.736**		
Plant height	0.820**	0.221*	0.343**	0.318**	0.145*	
First pod height	0.711**	-0.071NS	0.229**	0.033NS	-0.177*	0.784**

\* $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* $P < 0.01$ ; NS – non-significant

Table 6: Regression analysis between the seed yield and some parameters studied

Parameter	Regression equation	R <sup>2</sup>
Pod number	$y = 19.0703 + 0.7653 \times x$	0.012
Seeds per pod	$y = 1.6296 + 0.0868 \times x$	0.132
Seed weight per pod	$y = 0.2583 + 0.022 \times x$	0.145
1 000 seed weight	$y = 158.417 + 4.0237 \times x$	0.191
Plant height	$y = 17.2288 + 17.3646 \times x$	0.672
First pod height	$y = 3.7164 + 2.1061 \times x$	0.506

regression analysis demonstrates that in the present study, the plant height, the first pod setting height, the 1 000-seed weight, the seed weight per pod and the number of pods per plant can account for 67.2, 50.6, 19.1, 14.5, 13.2 and 0.1% of the soybean seed yield variation, respectively (Table 6). Also, according to Mandic et al. (2020), the number of pods per plant, the seed weight per plant and the thousand seed weight are next to the plant height and the first pod setting height, essential soybean yielding components.

## References

- Balko C., Hahn V. and Ordon F. (2014). Kühletoleranz bei der Sojabohne (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.)- Voraussetzung für die Ausweitung des Sojaanbaus in Deutschland. *Journal für Kulturpflanzen*, 66: 378–388.
- Bateman N.R., Catchot A.L., Gore J., Cook D.R., Musser F.R. and Irby J.T. (2020). Effects of planting date for soybean growth, development, and yield in the southern USA. *Agronomy*, 10: 596.
- Benatti R., Danuso F., Amaducci M.T. and Venturi G. (1990). Effect of sowing date on soybean: some experimental results. *Informatore Agrario*, 46: 41–45.
- Berschneider J. (2016). Chances and Limitations of European Soybean Production – Market Potential Analysis. [Master Thesis] Stuttgart- Hohenheim, Institute of Agricultural Policy Markets (420).
- Boros L., Wawer A. and, Borucka K. (2019). The level and stability of yielding soybean varieties of different earliness in various agro- climatic conditions. *Biuletyn Instytutu Hodowli i Aklimatyzacji Roślin*, 285: 283–284. (In Polish)
- Bosnjak D. (2004). Drought and its relation to field production in Vojvodina. *Naučni Institut za Ratarstvo i Povrtarstvo*, Novi Sad, 40: 45–55.
- Czopek K. and Staniak M. (2018). Influence of water deficiency in soil on morphological features as well as the size and quality of soybean yield (*Glycine max* L. Merr.). *Research and Development of Young Scientists in Poland. Nauki Przyrodnicze*, 3: 38–44. (In Polish)
- Desclaux D. and Roumet P. (1996). Impact of drought stress on the phenology of two soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) cultivars. *Field Crops Research*, 46: 61–70.
- Dolijanovic Z., Kovacevic D., Oljaca S., Jovovic Z., Stipesevic B. and Jug D. (2013). The multi-year soybean grain yield depending on weather conditions. In: *Proceedings of the 48th Croatian and 8<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Agriculture*, Dubrovnik, 422–477. Egli D.B. and Bruening W.P. (2000). Potential of early-maturing soybean cultivars in late plantings. *Agronomy Journal*, 92: 532–537.
- Holshouser D.L. and Whittaker J.P. (2002). Plant population and row- spacing effects on early soybean production systems in the Mid Atlantic USA. *Agronomy Journal*, 94: 603–611.
- Hu M.X. and Wiatrak P. (2012). Effect of planting date on soybean growth, yield, and grain quality: Review. *Agronomy Journal*, 104: 785–790.
- IUSS WRB (2015). World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014 (update 2015). *International Soil Classification System for Naming Soils and Creating Legends for Soil Maps*. World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. Rome, Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- Jaybhay S., Taware S.P. and Varghese P. (2019). Effect of different sowing dates on yield and its Attributes in soybean. *Journal of Agriculture Research and Technology*, 40: 167–169.
- Licht M.A. and Huffman C. (2017). Soybean date of planting and maturity. *Farm Progress Reports* 1, 127: 1–3. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.31274/farmprogressreports-180814-169>
- Mandic V., Bijelic Z., Krnjaja V., Simic A., Ruzic-Muslic D., Dragicevic V. and Petricevic V. (2017). The rainfall use efficiency and soybean grain yield under rainfed conditions in Vojvodina. *Bio- technology in Animal Husbandry*, 33: 475–486.
- Mandic V., Dordevic S., Bijelic Z., Krnjaja V., Pantelic V., Simic A. and Dragicevic V. (2020). Agronomic responses of soybean genotypes to starter nitrogen fertilizer rate. *Agronomy*, 10: 535.
- Miladinovic J., Kurosaki H., Burton J.W., Hrustic M. and Miladinovic D. (2006). The adaptability of short season soybean genotypes to varying longitudinal regions. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 25: 243–249.

- Mourtzinis S., Gaspar A.P., Naeve S.L. and Conley S.P. (2017). Planting date, maturity, and temperature effects on soybean seed yield and composition. *Agronomy Journal*, 109: 2040–2049.
- Sincik M., Göksoy A.T. and Turan Z.M. (2011). How growth dynamics affect soybean development across cultural practices. In: Tzi- Bun N. (ed.): *Soybean-Applications and Technology*. Rijeka, In- Tech. ISBN: 978-953-307-207-4 Available at: [www.com/books/soybean-applications-and-technology/how-growth-dynamics-affect-soybean-development-across-cultural-practices](http://www.com/books/soybean-applications-and-technology/how-growth-dynamics-affect-soybean-development-across-cultural-practices)
- Sinclair T.R., Zimet A.R. and Muchow R.C. (1988). Changes in soybean nodule number and dry weight in response to drought. *Field Crop Rresearch*, 18: 197-202.
- Sobko O., Stahl A., Hahn V., Zikeli S., Claupein W. and Gruber S. (2020). Environmental effects on soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) production in central and south Germany. *Agronomy*, 10: 1847.
- Vollmann J., Fritz C.N., Wagenristl H. and Ruckenbauer P. (2000). Environmental and genetic variation of soybean seed protein content under Central European growing conditions. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 80: 1300–1306.
- Wei M.C.F. and Molin J.P. (2020). Soybean yield estimation and its components: a linear regression approach. *Agriculture*, 10: 348.
- Zarski J., Kucemierek-Tomaszewska R., Dudek S., Krokowski M. and Kledzik R. (2019). Identifying climatic risk to soybean cultivation in the transitional type of moderate climate in Central Poland. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 20: 143–156.