

## Growth and yield of potato (*solanum tuberosum* linn.) Crop to certain fertilizers and growth regulators under sandy-clay loam of Madhya Pradesh

R.K. SAMADHIYA, Y.P. SINGH, A.N. MAURY<sup>1</sup> AND R R SINGH<sup>2</sup>

Central Potato Research Station, Post Bag No. 4, Morar, Gwalior-474006(MP)

E-mail: [ypsingh11@gmail.com](mailto:ypsingh11@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Potato had tallest plants (71.6 cm,  $P>5$ ) under interaction between  $F_2$  and bio-fertilizers Azotobacter ( $B_1$ ). Seed size tubers (25-75g) gave maximum yield 236.6g/plant ( $P>5$ ) at  $G_1$ . Tuber yield under  $F_2B_1G_2$  was profitable to have monetary gain to the rank of Rs 297254/- per hectare.

**Keywords:** Potato, tuber yield, NPK, Bio-fertilizers, Growth regulators

### Introduction

The potato is the world's most important food crop next to the cereals. It originated in the Andes highlands, probably in Peru or Bolivia where several wild species of *solanum* are found. In 17<sup>th</sup> century, the crop was introduced in India by Portuguese explorers. Now a days, India stands at 3<sup>rd</sup> rank in respect of potato production in the world.

Seed tubers are commonly used for vegetatively propagating the potato crop because it rapidly gives out sprouts for a fast growth of the plant. The Indian farmers use different chemical fertilizers along with animal compost for production of the tuber. The nitrogen (ammonium sulphate), phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ) and potash ( $K_2O$ ) are the chemical fertilizers applied in various proportions to secure higher yields. But the use of chemical fertilizers alone causes disruption in the soil nutrient balance.

As per estimates of Singh *et al.* (2003), potato, a heavy soil surface feeder crop, removes 0.42 kg nitrogen, 0.18 kg phosphorus and 0.60 kg potash from the top soil for yielding one quintal of tubers. Tuber production in potato increases on increasing NPK fertilizer. Kurlekar and Pawar (1978) found that application of 180 kg N + 100 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 100 kg  $K_2O$ /ha gave the highest tuber yield of 26.46 tons/ha as against 16.93 tons/ha of control without NPK fertilizer. Sharma and Singh (1988) recorded increase in plant height, tuber number and tuber weight per hill on application of 120:180:60 kg NPK/ha in sandy-loam acidic soil of Shillong.

*Azotobacters*, the free- living aerobic nitrogen fixing bacteria, on their application are able to save additions of nitrogenous fertilizers by 10-20 percent.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, D.S. Collage, Aligarh (UP)

<sup>2</sup>RBS Collage, Bichpuri, Agra

*Pseudomonas striata*, the phosphate solubilizing bacterium (PSB) caused higher tuber yield in potato over control (Singh, 1999). Singh and Sharma (2002) found significantly higher seed yield and large size tubers/ha on application of PSB.

Bio-fertilizers and growth regulators are also applied to boost potato tuber production. The present piece of work deals with soil application of NPK under field conditions, presowing seed treatment with bio-fertilizers and foliar spray of growth regulators on plant growth and tuber yield of potato.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Nursery, College of Agriculture, Gwalior (26°13'N latitude and 78°14'E longitude, lying at height of 211.5m) during 2008-09. The climate of Gwalior is sub-tropical with hot and dry summers. The experimental farm had sandy- clay loam soil with organic carbon 0.55%, available nitrogen 185.5 kg/ha, available phosphorus 24.2 kg/ha, available potash 333.2 kg/ha and pH 7.8.

The main plot soil was treated with 50% dose ( $F_1$ ) of NPK and 100% recommended dose ( $F_2$ ) of NPK (150:80:100 kg/ha). Ammonium sulphate (N), single super phosphate (P) and muriate of potash (K) were applied in furrows with half dose of N and full dose of P and K one day before planting of tubers and the remaining half dose of N was given at the time of earthing up of the potato crop. The seed tubers of variety Kufri Pukhraj were taken from Central Potato Research Station, Gwalior (MP).

The potato seeds were treated with liquid bio-fertilizer, azotobacter (3 kg/ha- $B_1$ ) and PSB (3kg/ha- $B_2$ ) for 15 minutes and then dried under shade for one hour for better coating. The coated seeds were planted

in the field at spacing of 50 x 20 cm. The control plants for the bio-fertilizers served as B<sub>3</sub>.

Plant growth promoter, gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>)-100 ppm (G<sub>1</sub>) and growth retardant, ethrel (ethaphon) -250 ppm (G<sub>2</sub>) were sprayed at 25 and 50 days after planting and the results were compared with control (B<sub>3</sub>).

The growth parameters, number of stems/hill and plant height were recorded at 75 days after planting. Three grades of tuber i.e. < 25 g, 25-75g and >75 g were considered for working out tuber number/plant and weight (g)/plant. The various data recorded from 10 plants tagged randomly in the experimental plot analyzed by applying Anova (Fisher, 1958).

## Results and Discussion

### Stem number/hill and plant height

The growth promoter and retardant and bio-fertilizers had no significant effect on the stem number/hill, except to the fertility levels with significantly higher number/hill that was found to the rank of F<sub>2</sub>>F<sub>1</sub>. F<sub>2</sub> dose i.e. 100% recommended dose of NPK had 9.04 percent increase in stem number over F<sub>1</sub> i.e. 50% dose of NPK (Table 1).

Foliar application of plant growth regulators had significant effect on plant height, the mean maximum of 64.4cm height was recorded with 100 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> which was found significantly higher to 250 ppm ethrel

at 75 days after plantation. Significantly higher stem heights were recorded under interactions of fertility levels (F<sub>1</sub> & F<sub>2</sub>) and bio-fertilizers (B<sub>1</sub> & B<sub>2</sub>). Of the bio-fertilizer treatments, *Azotobacter* (B<sub>1</sub>) with F<sub>1</sub> (B<sub>1</sub> F<sub>1</sub>) and PSB (B<sub>2</sub>) with F<sub>1</sub> (B<sub>2</sub> F<sub>1</sub>) had significantly higher stem lengths, 60.6 cm (P>5) and 59.1 cm (P>5) respectively. 100 percent dose of NPK (F<sub>2</sub>) along with B<sub>1</sub> produced significantly tallest plants (71.6 cm, P>5) over 50% dose of NPK (60.6 cm).

NPK at F<sub>2</sub> had significantly highest stem number (4.82/hill) and tallest plants (66.4 cm) over its F<sub>1</sub> dose. The higher number of stem/hill and plant height at F<sub>2</sub> may be due to higher rate of cell division and cell elongation in the meristematic region. This resulted in the higher length of plant in the potato. These results are in the conformity with the findings of *Shah et al.* (1996) and *Barche et al.* (2000).

Inoculation of seed tubers with *Azotobacter* and PSB resulted in increased plant height upto 14.6 and 10.1% respectively at 75 days after planting over the control. *Chettri et al.* (2003) and *Yadav et al.* (2003) also found increase in plant height due to inoculation of seed tubers with bio-fertilizers.

GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm (G<sub>1</sub>) resulted in significantly tallest plants due to its effect on stem elongation of potato. *Birbal et al.* (2003) also reported increase in plant

Table 1: Effect of fertility levels, bio-fertilizers and growth regulators on plant emergence stem number per hill and plant height of potato.

Treatments		Emergence (%)	Stem number/hill (per tuber)	Plant height (cm) at 75 days after planting	
1. Fertility levels	F <sub>1</sub>	92.01	4.42	58.47	
	F <sub>2</sub>	92.29	4.82	66.45	
	SE(m)	0.21	0.06	0.32	
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.39	1.93	
2. Bio-fertilizers	B <sub>1</sub>	92.25	4.68	66.14	
	B <sub>2</sub>	92.19	4.63	63.56	
	B <sub>3</sub>	92.00	4.54	57.68	
	SE(m)	0.42	0.04	0.22	
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.72	
3. Plant growth regulators	G <sub>1</sub>	92.25	4.63	64.41	
	G <sub>2</sub>	91.90	4.65	62.21	
	G <sub>3</sub>	92.32	4.57	60.77	
	SE(m)	0.45	0.05	0.61	
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	1.77	
4. Interactive effect of bio-fertilizers and fertility levels on plant height (cm) at 75 days after planting				Fertility levels	
				F1	
				F2	
	B <sub>1</sub>	-	-	60.68	71.60
	B <sub>2</sub>	-	-	59.13	67.99
	B <sub>3</sub>	-	-	5.59	59.76
	SE(m)	-	-	0.31	0.40
	CD (P=0.05)	-	-	1.02	2.02

F<sub>1</sub> = 50% NPK,  
G<sub>1</sub> = 100 ppm,

F<sub>2</sub> = 100% NPK,  
G<sub>2</sub> = Ethrel (250 ppm),

B<sub>1</sub> = Azotobacter,  
G<sub>3</sub> = control

B<sub>2</sub> = PSB,

B<sub>3</sub> = control,

height due to GA<sub>3</sub> treatments.

*Tuber number/plant*

Tuber number of the lowest (<25 g) and medium (25-75g) categories did not differ significantly at different fertility levels. However, tubers with >75 g size were found to differ significantly. Seed tubers inoculated with *Azotobacter* had significant increase in number of small size tuber/plant than PSB and control. PSB-treated seeds had plants that also produced lesser number of small size tubers than control (Table 2). The other two categories of tubers gave significantly higher tuber numbers at B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> over control.

Foliar spray of GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm (G<sub>1</sub>) significantly reduced number of small size tubers/plants as compared to ethrel 250 ppm (G<sub>2</sub>) and control. Ethrel 250 ppm also had significantly lower number of small size tuber than the control. 25-75 g category tubers had highest number, 4.58 tubers (P>5) at G<sub>1</sub> and 4.23 tubers/plant (P>5) at G<sub>2</sub> over control (3.72 tubers/plant). The large size tubers also had significantly higher number 1.65 (P>5) at G<sub>1</sub> and 1.56 (P>5) at G<sub>2</sub> over 1.37 of the control (Table 2).

Interaction of the growth regulators and the fertility levels also had significantly higher number of tubers, 4.52 (P>5) at G<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> and 3.84 (P>5) at G<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> over 3.80 of the control. The number further increased significantly at G<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (4.64 tubers, P>5) and at G<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (4.63 tubers, P>5) over 3.64 of the control plants.

*Tuber yield (g/plant)*

NPK at F<sub>2</sub> had lowest yield i.e. 22.1 g/plant of small size tubers as compared to 31.1 g/plant of G<sub>1</sub>. The other two categories of tubers, on the other hand, showed significant increase of tuber yield at F<sub>2</sub> (Table 3).

The bio-fertilizers (B<sub>1</sub> & B<sub>2</sub>) caused significant reductions in yield of small size tubers whereas the medium and large size tubers as well showed significant increase in their yield over the control. The growth regulators also showed similar performance of the three category tubers. The medium size tubers were most productive with highest yield, 236.6 g/plant (P>5) at G<sub>1</sub> and 217.8 g/plant (P>5) at G<sub>2</sub> over 187.5 g/plant of the control (Table 3). Interaction between the bio-fertilizers and the fertility levels were recorded to be unprofitable with significantly lower tuber yield/plant.

*Azotobacter* and PSB individually might have increased N and P availability in soil and thereby enhanced nutrient uptake and consequently the yield as *Azotobacter* functions for nitrogen fixation, ammonium excretion and production of plant growth promoting substances. PSB solubilizes phosphorus from soil and makes it available to plants. Singh (2002a) has also recorded significant increase in yield of seed size tubers due to inoculation with PSB. Singh (2001) and Singh (2002 b) recorded significant increase in yield of large size tubers due to bio-fertilizers.

Table 2: Effect of fertility levels, bio-fertilizers and growth regulators on potato tuber/plant.

Treatments	Tuber number per plant (grade wise)			
	< 25 g	25-75 g	>75 g	
1. Fertility level	F <sub>1</sub>	1.42	4.05	1.43
	F <sub>2</sub>	1.30	4.31	1.63
	SE(m)	0.03	0.08	0.01
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.07
2. Bio-fertilizers	B <sub>1</sub>	1.18	4.49	1.67
	B <sub>2</sub>	1.36	4.43	1.55
	B <sub>3</sub>	1.53	3.62	1.36
	SE(m)	0.03	0.10	0.03
	CD (P=0.05)	0.08	0.31	0.10
3. Plant growth regulators	G <sub>1</sub>	1.15	4.58	1.65
	G <sub>2</sub>	1.35	4.23	1.56
	G <sub>3</sub>	1.57	3.72	1.37
	SE(m)	0.03	0.11	0.03
	CD (P=0.05)	0.09	0.31	0.08
4. Interactive effect of growth regulators and fertility levels on 25-75g tubers				
		Fertility levels		
		F1	F2	
	G <sub>1</sub>	-	4.52 4.64	-
	G <sub>2</sub>	-	3.84 4.63	-
	G <sub>3</sub>	-	3.80 3.64	-
	SE(m)	-	0.15 0.15	-
	CD (P=0.05)	-	0.44 0.58	-

Table 3: Effect of fertility levels, bio-fertilizers and plant growth regulators on tuber yield (g/plant).

Treatments	Tuber yield (g)/plant (grade wise)			
	< 25 g	25-75 g	>75 g	
1. Fertility level	F <sub>1</sub>	31.11	204.30	133.41
	F <sub>2</sub>	22.15	223.79	141.05
SE(m)		0.11	0.05	0.69
CD (P=0.05)		0.67	0.28	4.19
2. Bio-fertilizers	B <sub>1</sub>	23.43	223.29	149.84
	B <sub>2</sub>	26.61	219.35	135.71
	B <sub>3</sub>	29.84	189.50	126.14
SE(m)		0.22	1.08	0.71
CD (P=0.05)		0.72	3.53	2.33
3. Plant growth regulators	G <sub>1</sub>	21.99	236.67	150.08
	G <sub>2</sub>	28.01	219.89	140.50
	G <sub>3</sub>	29.88	187.57	121.10
SE(m)		0.28	1.08	0.91
CD (P=0.05)		0.82	3.14	2.66
4. Interaction between bio-fertilizers and fertility levels on tuber yield/plant <25g				
Bio-fertilizers	Fertility levels			
	F1	F2		
	B <sub>1</sub>	19.52	-	-
	B <sub>2</sub>	22.05	-	-
	B <sub>3</sub>	24.87	-	-
SE(m)		0.28	-	-
CD (P=0.05)		1.03	-	-

Multi-factor interactions of the fertility levels, bio-fertilizers and growth regulators were also conducted and net monetary gain was calculated per hectare basis. A minimum of Rs 162742/ha was found under F<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>G<sub>3</sub> which reached to maximum level of Rs 297254/ha in F<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub> followed by Rs 272262/ha under F<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>1</sub> and Rs 269619/ha in F<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>1</sub>.

The above experimental findings indicate that values for most of the vegetative traits remained non-significant, except to plant height that showed significant increase at all the treatments. F<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub> interaction had tallest stem (71.6 cm, P>5) over 59.7 cm of the control. Major share in the tuber yield was attained by 25-75 g category tubers at G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub>, contributing upto 236.67 and 219.89 g/plant respectively over 187.57 g/plant of the control (Table 3).

## References

Barche S.; Banafar R.N.S. and Gupta N.K.(2000). Response of potato to fertility levels and growth regulators in black cotton soil. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 25(2): 215-216.

Birbal S. and Kushwah V.S. (2003). Effect of plant growth regulators on potato production. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.* 30(1-2): 195-196.

Chettri M.; Basu A. and Konar A. (2003). Effect of plant growth promoting bacteria on the growth and yield of potato in the plains of West Bengal. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.* 30(1-2): 83-84.

Fisher R.A.(1958). Skeleton Analysis of Variance Book "Design of Experiment".

Kurlekar V.G and Pawar K.R. (2002). Growth and yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and as influenced by fertilizer application. *J.Maha.Agric. Univ.* 3: 60-61.

Singh K. (1999). Effect of bio-fertilizers and phosphorus levels on the production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) crop under north-east hill conditions. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 69(10): 746-749.

Singh K. (2001). Response of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) to bio-fertilizer and nitrogen under North-Eastern hill conditions. *Indian J. Agron.* 46(2): 375-379.

Singh K. (2002a) Role of bio-fertilizers in increasing the efficiency of nitrogen to potato crop under North-Eastern hill conditions. *J. Indian Potato Assoc. Potato Global Res. and Dev.* 2: 904-907.

Singh S.K. (2002b). Efficacy of phosphate solubilizing bio-fertilizer with phosphorus on potato yield. *J. Indian Potato Assoc. Potato Global Res. and Dev.* 2:908-911.

Singh S.K. and Sharma R.C. (2002). Effect of inoculation nitrogen and phosphorus on yield and nutrient uptake of potato and their residual effect on radish. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.* 29(1-2): 41-45.

Singh S.P.; Kushwah V.S. and Sharma R.C. (2003). Effect of fertilizers and residue incorporation on soil fertility in potato based cropping system. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.* 30((1-2): 103-104.